

Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 119696

63

ORIGIN IO-13

INFO OCT-01 AF-08 EUR-12 ISO-00 OES-06 L-03 PA-01 PRS-01

AGR-05 SP-02 AID-05 EB-07 NSC-05 CIEP-01 TRSE-00

SS-15 STR-04 OMB-01 CEA-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 FRB-03

INR-07 NSAE-00 XMB-02 OPIC-03 LAB-04 SIL-01 ARA-06

EA-07 NEA-10 USIE-00 INRE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00 /134 R

DRAFTED BY IO/CMD:RAPOOLE:BAL

APPROVED BY IO:RDMOREY

IO/CMD:PDWYMAN

L:SMSCHWEBEL

IO/CMD:WWWOODBURY

EB/OT/GCP:HCBLACK

EB/IFD/ODF:JBLANEY

AID/PPC/IA:GEVANS

OES/SCI/SA:RMORRIS

IO/UNP:CCUTTER

----- 022489

O R 142352Z MAY 76

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION USUN NEW YORK IMMEDIATE

INFO AMEMBASSY ABIDJAN

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

USMISSION GENEVA

USDEL MTN GENEVA

AMEMBASSY NAIROBI

USMISSION OECD PARIS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE STATE 119696

NAIROBI FOR AMBASSADOR MYERSON, USDEL, UNCTAD IV

E.O. 11652: N/A

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 STATE 119696

TAGS: EGEN, UN, ECOSOC

SUBJECT: 61ST ECOSOC - DECLARATION OF ABIDJAN

1. THE FOLLOWING ARE OUR PRELIMINARY COMMENTS ON THE WORK-
ING PAPER ENTITLED "THE ABIDJAN DECLARATION ON THE INTER-
NATIONAL ECONOMIC SITUATION AND COOPERATION FOR DEVELOPMENT"
GIVEN YOU BY AMBASSADOR AKE AS THE DRAFT END-PRODUCT OF THE
ABIDJAN PORTION (JULY 1-9) OF THE SUMMER ECOSOC.

2. FIRST OF ALL, WE REGRET THAT WE ARE ASKED ONCE AGAIN TO
GO INTO AN OMNIBUS, STEM-TO-STERN, RHETORICAL EXERCISE
RAKING OVER THE FAMILIAR GROUND OF NORTH/SOUTH ISSUES. HOW-
EVER, WE UNDERSTAND AKE'S DESIRE TO HAVE SOMETHING RESONANT
EMERGE FROM THE ABIDJAN PORTION BEYOND THE PLENARY SPEECHES
THAT WILL BE MADE THERE, SO WE ASSUME THAT A DECLARATION OF
SOME SORT IS INEVITABLE AND THAT THERE WOULD BE NO POINT
IN ATTEMPTING TO DISSUADE AKE FROM THIS EXERCISE, ESPECIALLY
AFTER HE HAS GONE AS FAR AS HE HAS IN GIVING IT A FIRST
READING IN THE AFRICAN GROUP AND IN DISTRIBUTING IT TO CER-
TAIN OTHER DELEGATIONS. OUR EFFORTS SHOULD THEREFORE BE
DIRECTED AT ATTEMPTING TO PERSUADE AKE AND OTHERS WHO MAY
BE KEY ELEMENTS BEHIND THIS DRAFT TO MODIFY IT TO THE POINT
OF BEING A NON-CONTROVERSIAL DOCUMENT ACCEPTABLE TO ALL
DELEGATIONS. IN ITS PRESENT FORM IT IS CLEARLY NOT REPEAT
NOT ACCEPTABLE TO US. HENCE, PRE-NEGOTIATION TO THE EXTENT
POSSIBLE WILL BE ESSENTIAL.

3. THEREFORE, WE REQUEST THAT YOU GO OVER THE FOLLOWING
POINTS WITH AKE AT AN EARLY OPPORTUNITY IN ORDER TO BEGIN
THIS PROCESS. PLEASE NOTIFY THE DEPARTMENT IN ADVANCE, AS
WE MAY WISH TO SEND SOMEONE TO JOIN IN THESE TALKS. WE
ALSO SUGGEST YOU CONSULT WITH KEY ALLIES AT AN EARLY DATE.

4. WHEN DISCUSSING OUR COMMENTS WITH AKE, PLEASE ASSURE HIM
THAT WE WISH TO BE HELPFUL AND IMPRESS UPON HIM THAT WE CON-
SIDER THAT EXTENSIVE CHANGES TO MEET THESE POINTS WILL BE
ESSENTIAL IF WE ARE TO AVOID CONTROVERSY AT ABIDJAN AND A
DIVIDED VOTE, WHICH WE ASSUME AKE TOO WOULD WISH TO AVOID.
OTHERWISE THE ABIDJAN PORTION OF THE SUMMER ECOSOC WILL BE
CHARACTERIZED BY CONTENTION AND DIVISION WHICH WOULD BE
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 STATE 119696

AVERY BAD BEGINNING FOR THE ECOSOC SESSION AS WELL AS
DAMAGING TO THE PRESTIGE WHICH THE GOI HAS INVESTED IN THE
UNUSUAL STEP OF HOSTING THE INITIAL PORTION OF THE SESSION.

5. THE FOLLOWING COMMENTS, ARRANGED SERIATIM TO THE EXTENT
POSSIBLE, COVER OUR PRINCIPAL SUBSTANTIVE PROBLEMS WITH THE
DECLARATION AS DRAFTED, BUT ARE NOT NECESSARILY EXHAUSTIVE.
WE ALSO MAKE A FEW ADDITIVE SUGGESTIONS FOR GIVING THE
DECLARATION A MORE POSITIVE LOOK. FOR THE MOST PART WE HAVE
NOT GONE INTO PRECISE RE-DRAFTING AT THIS EARLY STAGE,
BUT WOULD BE PREPARED TO DO SO WHEN APPROPRIATE.

(A) THE 2ND PREAMBULAR PARA REAFFIRMING THE FUNDAMENTAL PURPOSES OF THE UN WOULD BE MORE ACCURATE IF "TO DEVELOP FRIENDLY RELATIONS AMONG NATIONS BASED ON" WERE INSERTED BEFORE "RESPECT FOR ETC." AND IF "AMONG OTHER OBJECTIVES" WERE ADDED, SINCE ARTICLE I OF THE CHARTER COVERS OTHER OBJECTIVES.

(B) THE REFERENCES TO THE NIEO AND CERDS IN THE 5TH PREAMBULAR PARA ARE ACCEPTABLE SINCE THEY ARE SIMPLE, FACTUAL REFERENCES, BUT THOSE OCCURRING IN THE 10TH, 11TH AND 12TH PREAMBULAR PARAS, NUMBERED PARAS 5 AND 6 UNDER "SOLEMNLY DECLARES", AND NUMBERED PARA 1 UNDER "RECOMMENDATIONS", ARE ALL CLEARLY UNACCEPTABLE SINCE THEY ENDORSE AND/OR CALL FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THESE DOCUMENTS. (SEE ALSO THE REVISED VERSION OF OUR STANDARD GUIDELINES ON DEALING WITH NIEO AND CERDS, A COPY OF WHICH WAS SENT TO USUN IN LATE APRIL.)

(C) WE HAVE NO PROBLEM OF COURSE WITH POSITIVE REFERENCES TO THE INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY (IDS) AND ESPECIALLY TO RESOLUTION 3362 OF THE 7TH SS. IN FACT WE WOULD LIKE TO ENCOURAGE GREATER EMPHASIS ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE

ATTER, WHICH WE LIKE TO THINK OF AS A GENUINE CONSENSUS (ALBEIT WITH A FEW RESERVATIONS) THAT SHOULD BE TREATED AS OVERTAKING THE NIEO AND CERDS IN PROVIDING REAL HOPE FOR CONCRETE, AGREED ACTION.

(D) THE 6TH PREAMBULAR PARA NOTING THE RESULTS OF UNCTAD IV AND PROGRESS IN CIEC (VICE "NORTH/SOUTH CONFERENCE")
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 STATE 119696

WILL PRESUMABLY BE FLESHED OUT WHEN WE KNOW WHAT THE UNCTAD RESULTS ARE, HOPING THEY WILL BE POSITIVE, AND WHAT FURTHER PROGRESS MAY HAVE BEEN MADE IN CIEC.

(E) IN PREAMBULAR PARA 11 WE WOULD FIND THE FORMULATION ON THE NEED TO STRENGTHEN ECOSOC SO AS TO SERVE AS THE CENTRAL COORDINATING BODY FOR UN ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL ACTIVITIES ACCEPTABLE AS LONG AS THE ASPECT OF ESTABLISHING NIEO WERE ELIMINATED.

(F) WE CERTAINLY AGREE WITH NUMBERED PARA 1 UNDER "SOLEMNLY DECLARES", I.E. ON THE NEED FOR COOPERATION AMONG ALL NATIONS FOR WORLD ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL PROGRESS.

(G) WE ALSO HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH PARA 2 UNDER "DECLARES" STATING LDC DEVELOPMENT WILL BE ADVANCED BY STABLE ECONOMIC CONDITIONS.

(H) THE LANGUAGE OF PARA 3 UNDER "DECLARES" IS THE TYPE OF FORMULATION WE ARE HAPPY TO ACCEPT, I.E., IN STRESSING

THE IMPORTANCE OF DIALOGUE AND NEGOTIATION BETWEEN DCS AND LDCS "TO PROMOTE A MORE ACCEPTABLE AND FRUITFUL SYSTEM OF INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC RELATIONS," AS IT GETS AWAY FROM THE PRECISE TERM "NIEO." VARIANTS OF THIS TYPE OF LANGUAGE

MIGHT BE USEFUL IN SUBSTITUTING FOR THE UNACCEPTABLE PASSAGES REFERRED TO ABOVE.

(I) IN PARA 4 UNDER "DECLARES", WE HAVE REAL PROBLEMS WITH THE CONCEPT OF "FULLER" LDC PARTICIPATION IN DECISION-MAKING IN INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND PARTICULARLY IFIS, AS WE WISH TO AVOID COMPARISONS WITH THE PRESENT DEGREE OF PARTICIPATION IN USEFUL SMALLER FORUMS (E.G. CIEC, IMF/IBRD DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE) AND THOSE WITH WEIGHTED VOTING (E.G. IMF, IBRD, IFAD). WE DO SUBSCRIBE TO THE CONCEPT OF "WIDELY SHARED" PARTICIPATION, BUT THIS SHOULD BE EQUITABLE, REALISTIC, RESPONSIVE TO CHANGE AND TAILORED TO PARTICULAR CIRCUMSTANCES AND RESPONSIBILITIES, THUS ALLOWING FOR LIMITED AND WEIGHTED FORUMS.

(J) IN PARA 6 UNDER "DECLARES", IN ADDITION TO THE UNAC-LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 05 STATE 119696

CEPTABLE CONNECTION WITH THE NIEO, WE ARE NOT TAKEN WITH THE IDEA OF STRENGTHENING THE ROLE OF THE COMMITTEE ON REVIEW AND APPRAISAL, PARTICULARLY AFTER LAST YEAR'S UNHAPPY EXPERIENCE WITH THE REVIEW AND APPRAISAL PROCESS CULMINATING IN GA RESOLUTION 3517 (XXX).

(K) IN THE INTRODUCTORY PARA UNDER "RECOMMENDATIONS", WE WOULD LIKE TO GET AWAY FROM THE CONCEPT OF "A BETTER DISTRIBUTION" OF THE WORLD'S RICHES, WHICH SOUNDS LIKE A REDISTRIBUTION OF EXISTING WEALTH. WE WOULD LIKE INSTEAD TO EMPHASIZE THE NEED FOR INCREASED PRODUCTION BY ALL COUNTRIES FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL PEOPLES, WITH SPECIAL EMPHASIS ON THE NEED TO HELP LDCS TO INCREASE THEIR PRODUCTIVE CAPACITY.

(L) IN RECOMMENDATION 1, THE FORMULATION ON STRENGTHENING LDC SOVEREIGNTY OVER NATURAL RESOURCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NIEO RESOLUTIONS IS UNACCEPTABLE SINCE THE LATTER ENDORSED FULL PERMANENT SOVEREIGNTY, EFFECTIVE CONTROL, AND THE RIGHT TO NATIONALIZE OR TRANSFER OWNERSHIP, WITH NO MENTION OF COMPENSATION REQUIRED OR OF RESPECT FOR INTERNATIONAL LAW AND INTERNATIONAL OBLIGATIONS. AS A MINIMUM, WE COULD AGREE TO A GENERAL REAFFIRMATION OF PERMANENT SOVEREIGNTY OVER NATURAL RESOURCES SUBJECT TO INTERNATIONAL LAW AND OBLIGATIONS, AND WITH NO MENTION OF NIEO OR CERDS. (SEE PAPER ON CERDS AND NIEO ATTACHED TO AFOREMENTIONED GUIDELINES FOR A FULL STATEMENT OF U.S. POSITION.)

(M) IN RECOMMENDATION 2, WE CANNOT AGREE TO INCLUDING INDEXATION AS A MEANS OF SOLVING RAW MATERIALS PROBLEMS, FOR REASONS WELL KNOWN. MOREOVER, THE PARA FAILS TO MENTION THE POSITIVE STEPS THAT HAVE BEEN GENERALLY AGREED TO AND ARE IN VARIOUS PROCESSES OF IMPLEMENTATION WITH RESPECT TO PRIMARY COMMODITY EXPORTS, SUCH AS SELECTIVE COMMODITY AGREEMENTS, PRODUCER/CONSUMER FORUMS, THE RECENT LIBERALIZATION OF THE IMF'S COMPENSATORY FINANCING FACILITY AND THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE IMF TRUST FUND FOR CONCESSIONAL BALANCE OF PAYMENTS ASSISTANCE TO THE POOREST LDCS, AND NOW OUR PROPOSAL FOR AN INTERNATIONAL RESOURCES BANK.

(N) IN RECOMMENDATION 3, WE HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 06 STATE 119696

EFFORTS TO STABILIZE THE EXPORT INCOME OF LDCS BUT WOULD ELIMINATE THE WORD "GUARANTEE."

(O) WE AGREE WITH RECOMMENDATION 4 ON THE PROMOTION OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND FOOD PRODUCTION, ESPECIALLY FOR THE POOREST.

(P) IN RECOMMENDATION 5, WE CERTAINLY AGREE WITH THE CONCEPT OF ACCELERATING THE INDUSTRIALIZATION OF LDCS, ADDING "WITHIN EACH COUNTRY'S OVERALL DEVELOPMENTAL NEEDS," BUT WE DO NOT ACCEPT A TARGET OF A 25PCT LDC SHARE OF WORLD INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION BY THE YEAR 2000. (WE DID AS A COMPROMISE GO ALONG WITH THE UNIDO FORMULATION OF INCREASING THE LDC SHARE "AS FAR AS POSSIBLE" TOWARD 25PCT WHILE ARGUING AGAINST A SPECIFIC TARGET WITH NO RELIABLE BASIS.) AS ADHERENTS OF THE BASIC PRINCIPLES OF THE MARKET ECONOMY, WE BELIEVE THAT A PRECISE TARGET IS UNREALISTIC AND WE CANNOT ACCEPT THE IMPLICATION OF SOME SORT OF GOVERNMENTAL INTERVENTION TO ACHIEVE SUCH TARGETS. (MOREOVER, AN LDC TARGET OF THIS SORT MAY BE IMPOSSIBLE EVEN THEORETICALLY: EVEN IF PRESENT LDCS WERE ABLE TO ACHIEVE THE 25 PCT TARGET FIGURE, THE SMALL NUMBER OF UPPER LDCS WHO WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR A SUBSTANTIAL PORTION OF THIS WOULD IPSO FACTO HAVE CROSSED OVER INTO THE DC RANKS.)

THE SENTENCE ON THE TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY COULD BE MORE FELICITOUSLY PHRASED TO GET AWAY FROM THE ASSERTION THAT "IT IS ESSENTIAL TO MODIFY" THE OVERALL FRAMEWORK FOR THE TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY (AS THIS SEEMS TO HAVE BECOME LDC SIGN LANGUAGE FOR ADOPTING A BINDING CODE OF CONDUCT, REVISING THE PARIS CONVENTION ON PATENTS, AND ESTABLISHING A WHOLE SET OF NEW INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS). "CONSIDER MODIFICATIONS" WOULD BE BETTER, OR SOMETHING DIFFERENT SUCH AS EXPRESSING THE "NEED FOR DEVELOPING SYSTEMS TO FACILITATE" THE TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY IN ORDER TO HELP LDCS DEVELOP THE MEANS (RATHER THAN "GIVE" THEM THE MEANS)

TO DEVELOP AND ADAPT LOCAL TECHNOLOGY, ETC.

(Q) IN RECOMMENDATION 6, THE PHRASE "SUPPRESSING THE OBSTACLES OF DUTIABLE AND DUTY-FREE GOODS" IS OBSCURE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 07 STATE 119696

AT BEST, ALTHOUGH WE ASSUME IT REFERS TO TARIFF AND NON-TARIFF BARRIERS. WE WOULD PREFER AN EXPRESSION OF SUPPORT FOR THE NEGOTIATIONS IN THE MTN ON TARIFF AND NON-TARIFF

MEASURES AS THE MEANS TO ACHIEVE FURTHER LIBERALIZATION OF WORLD TRADE OF BENEFIT TO LDCS. WE HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH CALLING FOR FURTHER IMPROVEMENTS IN GSP AND FOR RAPID MTN ACTION ON TROPICAL PRODUCTS.

(R) IN RECOMMENDATION 7, WE WOULD PREFER A PHRASE SUCH AS "IMPROVING THE INTERNATIONAL MONETARY SYSTEM" RATHER THAN "A REFORMED INTERNATIONAL MONETARY SYSTEM." ALSO, THE U.S. CANNOT GO ALONG WITH THE CONCEPT OF AN SDR/AID LINK.

(S) IN RECOMMENDATION 8, WE DO NOT LIKE THE TERM "PRIVILEGED COUNTRIES", ALTHOUGH WE ASSUME THIS WAS SELECTED TO REFER TO THE NEED TO INCREASE THE TRANSFER OF REAL RESOURCES FROM BOTH DCS AND WEALTHY LDCS, RATHER THAN JUST THE FORMER. WE AGREE WITH THIS IDEA, BUT IT SHOULD BE EXPRESSED MORE EXPLICITLY, E.G. BY THE ACCEPTED "DCS AND LDCS IN A POSITION TO DO SO." HOWEVER, WE CANNOT AGREE TO THE 0.7 ODA TARGET FOR REASONS WELL KNOWN, ALTHOUGH WE HAVE SAID (IN CONNECTION WITH THE IDS) THAT WE WERE PREPARED TO MAKE OUR "BEST EFFORTS" TO INCREASE BOTH OFFICIAL AND PRIVATE FLOWS. THEREFORE WE ASK THAT THE REFERENCE TO THE OECD/DAC VIEWS BE DELETED SINCE THE U.S. AMONG OTHERS DOES NOT SUBSCRIBE TO THE TARGET. A RECENT CASE IN POINT IS 30TH GA RESOLUTION 3489 OF LAST FALL WHOSE MAIN POINT WAS THE NEED TO ACHIEVE THE 0.7 PCT ODA TARGET:

THE U.S. VOTED ,NO", 14 COUNTRIES ABSTAINED, AND SOME OTHERS SIMPLY EXPLAINED THEY COULD NOT GO BEYOND PREVIOUS COMMITMENTS. WE DO NOT OBJECT TO THE FORMULATIONS ON INCREASING FINANCIAL TRANSFERS BY IFIS (WHICH OF COURSE IS WHAT THE IFIS ARE NOW ACTIVELY ENGAGED IN); ON INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS FOR IMPROVING THE QUALITY AND CONDITIONS OF ASSISTANCE; AND ON PROVISIONS TO INSURE THAT THE PROBLEM OF FOREIGN DEBTS AND SERVICING DOES NOT IMPEDE LDC GROWTH, EXCEPT THAT WE COULD NOT ACCEPT THE TERM "INSURE". MOREOVER, ON THIS LAST WE WOULD HAVE TO BE ALERT FOR ANY POSSIBLE EFFORTS
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 08 STATE 119696

TO EXPAND THE CONCEPT TO INCORPORATE BLANKET DEBT RESCHEDULING OR RELIEF.)

(T) IN RECOMMENDATION 9, WE DO NOT OBJECT TO THIS FORMULATION ON INTRA- AND INTER-REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT, ALTHOUGH THE IDEA OF CONSTITUTING "VAST HOMOGENEOUS ZONES" NEEDS CLARIFICATION AND MIGHT HAVE TO BE LOOKED AT AGAIN WHEN CLARIFIED.

(U) WE HAVE NO REAL PROBLEM WITH THE ADDITIONAL PREAMBULAR AND OPERATIVE PARAS ON ELIMINATING COLONIALISM, RACIAL DISCRIMINATION AND APARTHEID AS "ESSENTIAL TO DEVELOPMENT," EVEN THOUGH THE ECONOMIC THESIS IS QUESTIONABLE IN SOME CASES, E.G. IN "DECOLONIZING" NON-VIABLE MINITERRITORIES. NEVERTHELESS, WE BELIEVE THESE PARAS ARE SOMEWHAT OUT OF PLACE IN THIS DOCUMENT (ALTHOUGH WE ACKNOWLEDGE THE AFRICAN CONTEXT PROBABLY MAKES THE INCLUSION OF SOME SUCH FORMULATION INEVITABLE).

(V) AS A GENERAL COMMENT, WE THINK THE DECLARATION WOULD BE IMPROVED WITH SPECIFIC DECLARATORY AND/OR RECOMMENDATORY ADDITIONS SUPPORTING ACTIONS THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN TAKEN OR ARE UNDERWAY TO IMPLEMENT THE 7TH SS RESOLUTION AND GIVING POSITIVE ENCOURAGEMENT FOR CONTINUING ACTION IN VARIOUS FORUMS SUCH AS CIEC, IMF/WORLD BANK GROUP, I'C. INCLUDED WOULD BE SPECIFIC REFERENCES TO UNCTAD IV ACCOMPLISHMENTS WHEN WE HAVE THEM (AS MENTIONED ABOVE). AMONG THE 7TH SS FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS ALREADY TAKEN OR UNDERWAY WOULD BE THE VARIOUS STEPS TAKEN BY THE IMF TO LIBERALIZE CREDITS (INCLUDING THOSE MENTIONED IN PARA (M) ABOVE PLUS INCREASED NORMAL DRAWING RIGHTS), COMMODITY AGREEMENTS COMPLETED SINCE THE 7TH SS AND PROGRESS TOWARD OTHER COMMODITY AGREEMENTS AND PRODUCER/CONSUMER FORUMS, THE INSTITUTION OF GSP BY VARIOUS DCS, MTN NEGOTIATIONS, PROGRESS MADE TOWARD ESTABLISHING IFAD, PROSPECTIVE SUBSTANTIAL INCREASES IN IFC, IDA AND REGIONAL BANK RESOURCES, PRELIMINARY STUDIES ON THE CREATION OF AN INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGICAL INFORMATION BANK AND OTHER INSTITUTIONS AS PART OF AN OVERALL TECHNOLOGICAL EXCHANGE NETWORK, DISCUSSIONS UNDERWAY FOR THE CREATION OF AN INTERNATIONAL ENERGY INSTITUTE, AND SO ON. (THESE AND OTHER LIMITED OFFICIAL USE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 09 STATE 119696

ACTIONS ARE OUTLINED IN THE DEPARTMENT'S A-2283 OF APRIL 30, 1976, STATE 113160 AND 055098 AND IN MESSAGES REFERRED TO THEREIN.) THE DECLARATION MIGHT ALSO INCLUDE POSITIVE AND HOPEFUL REFERENCES TO THE UPCOMING WORLD CONFERENCES ON WATER, DESERTIFICATION AND SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY -- WHICH SHOULD INTER ALIA HAVE A RESPONSIVE RING IN THE

AFRICAN CONTEXT. KISSINGER

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 15 SEP 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: AGREEMENT DRAFT, ECONOMIC CONDITIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 14 MAY 1976
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: morefirh
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976STATE119696
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: IO/CMD:RAPOOLE:BAL
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: n/a
Film Number: D760187-0901
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t197605109/baaaepad.tel
Line Count: 382
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN IO
Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 7
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: morefirh
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 01 APR 2004
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <01 APR 2004 by CollinP0>; APPROVED <02 APR 2004 by morefirh>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
04 MAY 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: 61ST ECOSOC - DECLARATION OF ABIDJAN
TAGS: EGEN, UN, ECOSOC, ABIDJAN
To: USUN N Y INFO ABIDJAN
EC BRUSSELS
GENEVA
MTN GENEVA
NAIROBI
OECD PARIS

Type: TE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006